Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/667,720 | SONNEKALB, STEFFEN | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Daniel Pan | 2183 | | |

| | Daniel Pan | 2183 | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | | |
| X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| n. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp. | liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be | Flad within two months | of the date of | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belon (c) They are not decorded to place the application in both | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | |
| Application by the advertise the billionist in projection (3). ■ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 9 and 10 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | |
| Ser purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 9 and 10. Claim(s) objected to: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | |
| | /Daniel Pan/ | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2183 | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

21 Century Strategic Plan

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

As to applicant's remark that Douglas et al. do not teach or suggest pipeline instructions that instruct the program- controlled unit to stop pipeline stages. Douglas already taught the particular clock x for staling the pipe stage based on a given commond in fig.7). Therefore, software instruction in Douglas were applicable. Furthre, ore, Douglas taught "algorithm equations" were executed by the control logic unit 401, therefore it must be in instruction form. Since Douglas already taught the stalls by respective commands for each pipe stage in col.8, lines 5.04.7. O.B. Junies 1.13. Douglas allorithm was also anolicable for piceline instructions.

As to applicant's remark that the alleged instructions for stalling that are taught by Douglas et al. are hardware signals that are quentrated by the buffers 502A, 502B of the instruction decode pipeline 400°, when a buffer 502A, 502B becomes full, and these stall signals, which are generated by the buffers 502A, 502B, are evaluated by further control logic 40° to turn off the clock to a pipestage, Douglas already taught instruction decoder pipeline 400. Therefore, whether the signals are hardware or software signals is irrelevant. As long as there is instruction decoder pipeline, here must have pipeline instructions. Furthermore, no specific format of pipeline instructions have been recited in the claims. Therefore, they are read as pipeline instructions in general. Examiner does not think that "pipeline instructions" is an inventive idea without any specific format of the instructions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dan Pan whose telephone number is 571 272 412. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from \$:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chan, can be reached on 571 272 4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 306 5404.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see PAIR system, so chart (EBC) at 871-79-197 (foli-free).